PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

):					PCT			
	see form PCT/ISA/220			WRI	WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			
.				l l	(PCT Rule 43bis.1)	·		
		:		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)		
oplicate for the second	ant's or agent's file re	eference .		FOR FURTHE See paragraph 2 b	elow			
terna CT/	ational application No IL2008/000233	0.	21.02.2008	date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 23.02.2007			
tern 1V.	ational Patent Classi A61B5/053 A61	fication (IPC) or B5/029 A61B	both national classifi 5/083	cation and IPC	1			
pplic HE	eant ETACH MEDIC	AL LTD.						
	This opinion co	ntains indicat	ions relating to th	ne following items:				
	⊠ Box No. I	Basis of the o			•			
	☐ Box No. II	_				hility		
	 ☐ Box No. II Priority ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability 							
-	Box No. IV		- £ importion					
	 ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention ☐ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement 							
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docu	ments cited					
	□ Boy No. VII	Certain defe	cts in the internatio	nal application		•		
	☑ Box No. VIII	Certain obse	rvations on the inte	ernational application				
2.	FURTHER ACT	ION				·		
	written opinion of the applicant ch International Bu will not be so co	of the Internation Booses an Auth Bridered ander Ru Bonsidered.	ority other than thing le 66.1 <i>bis</i> (b) that v	is one to be the IPEA an written opinions of this In	n will usually be considered to be A") except that this does not appld the chosen IPEA has notifed the ternational Searching Authority	. .		
	submit to the It from the date o whichever expi	res later.	rm PCT/ISA/220 or	to be a written opinion o re appropriate, with ame before the expiration of	f the IPEA, the applicant is invited in the IPEA, the applicant is invited and notice the expiration of 22 months from the priority date,	3 months		
	For further opti	ons, see Form	PCT/ISA/220.					
3.			to Form PCT/ISA/2	220.	·			
			·	·				
	me and mailing add	ress of the ISA:		Date of completion of	Authorized Officer	ordisches Pelany		
Na	ille mie menid acc	1000 01 1110 12111		this opinion		· 4 2		

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IL2008/000233

	Вох	No	. I Basis of the opinion
1.	With	ı reg	ard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	Ø	the	international application in the language in which it was filed
•		a tra	anslation of the international application into , which is the language of a translation furnished for the poses of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1 (b)).
2.		This	s opinion has been established taking into account the rectification of an obvious mistake authorized or notified to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43bis.1(a))
.3.	Witt	n reg essa	pard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. ty	ype (of material:
	[⊐ .	a sequence listing
	. [table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. fe	oiwa	at of material:
	.		on paper
	1		in electronic form
	c. t	ime	of filing/furnishing:
	!	□ ·	contained in the international application as filed.
:			filed together with the international application in electronic form.
			furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
٬4.		has	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto is been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional bies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.
5.	Add	ditio	nal comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IL2008/000233

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

Claims

1-21

No:

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

<u>1-21</u>

industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-21

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1. Reference is made to the following documents:
 - D1: LELE SUHAS S ET AL: "Exercise capacity in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy:
 Role of stroke volume limitation, heart rate, and diastolic filling characteristics"
 CIRCULATION, vol. 92, no. 10, 1995, pages 2886-2894, XP002487808 ISSN: 0009-7322
 - D2: WO 2006/087696 A (NEW LEAF CAPITAL LTD [GB]; KEREN HANAN [IL]; SIMON AVRAM B [GB]) 24 August 2006 (2006-08-24) cited in the application
 - D3: RAZA S B ET AL: "FILTERING RESPIRATION AND LOW-FREQUENCY MOVEMENT ARTEFACTS FROM THE CARDIOGENIC ELECTRICAL IMPEDANCE SIGNAL" MEDICAL AND BIOLOGICAL ENGINEERING AND COMPUTING, SPRINGER, HEILDELBERG, DE, vol. 30, no. 5, 1 September 1992 (1992-09-01), pages 556-561, XP000323425 ISSN: 0140-0118
 - D4: US-A-5 158 093 (SHVARTZ ESAR [US] ET AL) 27 October 1992 (1992-10-27)
 - D5: MIYAMOTO Y ET AL: "CARDIO RESPIRATORY DYNAMICS DURING SINUSOIDAL AND IMPULSE EXERCISE IN MAN" JAPANESE JOURNAL OF PHYSIOLOGY, vol. 33, no. 6, 1983, pages 971-986, XP008094022 ISSN: 0021-521X
- 2. The present application does not meet the criteria of the PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1, 8 and 10 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.
- 2.1 The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1, and discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A method of estimating exercise capacity of a subject, the method comprising: calculating cardiac output (Methods section, sub-heading "Study Protocol"), using said cardiac output for estimating the exercise capacity of the subject

(Discussion section, sub-heading "Limitation of Exercise Capacity in Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy"; see also abstract).

2.2 The subject-matter of claim 1 therefore differs from this known method in that radiofrequency signals are used for measurement, and that the phase difference between transmitted and received signal is used to calculate cardiac output.

The problem to be solved by the present invention may therefore be regarded as providing an alternative, non-invasive method of cardiac output measurement. However, these features have already been employed for the same purpose in a similar method, see document D2, page 19, line 31 - page 20, line 18. It would be obvious to the person skilled in the art, namely when the same result is to be achieved, to apply these features with corresponding effect to a method according to document D1, thereby arriving at a method according to claim 1.

Hence, the solution proposed in claim 1 of the present application cannot be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).

2.3 The same reasoning applies, mutatis mutandis, to the subject-matter of the corresponding independent claims 8 and 10, which therefore are also considered not inventive.

The additional features of claim 10 are disclosed in document D2 as follows (the references in parentheses refer to D1):

A system for estimating exercise capacity of a subject (21), comprising: a radiofrequency generator (22) for generating output radiofrequency signals; a plurality of electrodes (25) designed for transmitting said output radiofrequency signals (24) to the subject (21) and for sensing input radiofrequency signals (26) from the subject (21).

3.1 Dependent claims 2-6, 9-13, 15 and 20-21 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons:

PCT/IL2008/000233

- Claims 2 and 9: see document D1, Methods section, sub-heading "Study Protocol".
- Claim 3: see document D2, page 19, line 31 page 20, line 18 and Fig. 2.
- Claims 4 and 12: see document D2, page 20, line 19 page 21, line 2.
- Claims 5 and 13: see document D2, page 21, lines 10-30.
- Claims 6 and 11: see document D1, Discussion section.
- Claims 7, 14, 16 and 17: see document D3, page 557, left column, paragraph 3 and page 558, right column, first paragraph.
- Claim 15: see document D2, page 20, lines 10-14.
- Claims 18 and 19 appear to relate to arbitrary choices for filter cut-off frequencies.
- Claims 20 and 21 appear to relate to well-known alternatives of what is disclosed in document D1, Methods section. See also document D5, page 973, paragraphs 2 and 3.

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

- 1. The term "exercise capacity" is rather vague. The description of the current application does not provide a definition of "exercise capacity", but rather mentions some properties. Document D4 gives a more precise indication of what "exercise capacity" could mean (see column 5, line 40 column 6, line 28 and the example given in Fig. 5); however, it is not clear whether the intended meaning in the current application is the same as in document D4. Since no clearer definition of exercise capacity was available, it was assumed that the intended meaning of "exercise capacity" is that of document D4.
- 2. Claim 10 relates to a system which comprises the apparatus of claim 8. Therefore, claim 10 should be re-drafted as a claim dependent on claim 8, in order to fulfil the requirement of conciseness (Article 6 PCT), especially considering that "system" is the same claim category as "apparatus" (see PCT Guidelines 5.13).
- 3. Claims 7, 14 and 16 relate to a "dynamically variable filter". This expression is vague, because it does not say what kind of filter is used and in which way this filter is variable. According to the description of the current application, the filter "filters the data according to a frequency band which is dynamically adapted..." (page 10, lines 21-27). The fact that

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IL2008/000233

the filter is characterized by a dynamically adapted frequency band is essential for solving the problem stated on page 10, lines 26-27 of the description and should be added to the above-mentioned claims.